Breach of duty: the standard of care annotated problem question

Kate and Iris have spent the afternoon looking at wedding dresses. Before heading home they go to a new champagne bar to celebrate finding 'the one'. Iris offers Kate a lift home in her car, assuring Kate that she's alright to drive as she's 'probably only just over the drink-drive limit'. On the journey home Iris loses control of the car and crashes into a lamp post. Kate suffers minor cuts and bruises and is taken to hospital for a check-up. At the hospital Kate contracts an infection in a cut to her right arm. The doctor on duty decides not to treat the infection with antibiotics immediately as he has recently read a report in a little-known medical journal which suggested that it is better to allow the body 'time to heal' following a trauma. Kate's right arm is partially paralysed.

Advise Kate.

Kate also has a claim

Again duty is straight-

forward. The issue here

against the doctor.

is one of 'informed

consent' There is no

suggestion that the

conversation with Kate

above the risks relating

treatment. Compare

decisions and reasoning

Montgomery [2015].

The doctor's actions also

raise issues relating to causation (Chapter 9).

and contrast the

in Bolam [1957],

Bolitho [1998] and

doctor has had a

You should also consider whether Kate was contributorily negligent when she got into the car with Iris knowing that Iris had been drinking

(Chapter 10).

Iris clearly owes Kate a duty of care (though you should still establish this), and has caused her injuries so the question you need to consider is whether Iris is acting as a reasonable driver. You need to work through the factors which the courts consider when setting the standard

of care